

Before the
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Periodic Reporting
(Proposal Nine)

Docket No. RM2015-2

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE NOTICE OF ERRATA
(November 13, 2014)

This Notice of Errata corrects page 1 of the Public Representative Motion for Information Request filed November 10, 2014. The Motion states that "Obtaining this information will also contribute to a better understanding of how the Postal Service has interpreted Commission rules and allow the Commission to make a fully informed, reasoned determination on whether the Proposal Nine meets applicable legal and regulatory requirements, including 39 U.S.C. §3622(c)(10) and 39 C.F.R. part 3010." The statute and regulations cited are not applicable to the current proceeding. Accordingly, the above statement should be stricken and replaced with the correct citations. The corrected version of page 1 is appended to this Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne O'Connor
Public Representative

901 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20268-0001
202-789-6892
email: anne.oconnor@prc.gov

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Periodic Reporting
(Proposal Nine)

Docket No. RM2015-2

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE MOTION
FOR ISSUANCE OF INFORMATION REQUEST

(November 10, 2014)

Pursuant to Rules 39 C.F.R. §3001.21(a) and 39 C.F.R. §3007.3(c), the Public Representative requests that an Information Request be issued to obtain additional clarifying data and explanation from the Postal Service concerning the proposed change to analytical methods to refine the split of City Carrier costs into office and street components (Proposal Nine). The proposed questions seek information that will allow participants to provide more constructive comments and evaluate whether the proposal meets applicable legal and regulatory requirements. Obtaining this information will also contribute to a better understanding of how the Postal Service has interpreted Commission rules and allow the Commission to make a fully informed, reasoned determination on whether the Proposal Nine meets applicable legal and regulatory requirements, including 39 U.S.C. §3652(e)(2) and 39 C.F.R. part 3050.

Proposed Questions

1. On page 1 of Proposal Nine, the Postal Service states that it “seeks to utilize the Time Attendance Collection System (TACS) to decompose city carrier accrued costs into office costs (cost segment 6) and street costs (cost segment 7), replacing the current methodology that uses In-Office Cost System (IOCS).” The Postal Service further states that “operational systems have matured to the point